Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/780,791	WOLFE, KEVIN B.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Howard H. Bayless</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 August 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 1/30	0/06	
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: Prior Art of Record		,
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE It was agreed to amend claim 43 and cancel claim 44, and withd Amendment, to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record	rawn claims 1-36, 41, 42, and 44,	
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Pate a Auskori		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)